PQDR

Date: June 11, 2025

I. PURPOSE

The Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) received formal concurrence from the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics ODASD(Log) on Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (ADC) 1443 Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) Policy Migration. ADC 1443 was signed on 27 January 2023. This ADC serves to inform the Department of Defense (DoD) Components that the Defense Logistics Manual (DLM) 4000.25, Volume 2, Chapter 24, is replacing Joint Service Regulation Defense Logistics Agency Regulation 4155.24/AR 702-7/SECNAVINST 4855.21/AFI 21-115/DCMA INST 1102 as the DoD authoritative source for PQDR-related guidance.

DoD Component/ Service/Agency	Name (Primary)	Name (Alternate)	Name	Name
ODASD(Log)	⊠ Jeremy Amason	□ Patrick O'Connell		
DEDSO	🖾 Ben Breen	🛛 Corbin Austin	□ Steve Nace	⊠ Preston Gill
Defense Logistics Agency	⊠ Jamie Goodrick	□ Jose Pereira		
U.S. Army	Mythanh Nguyen	□ (Vacant)		
U.S. Marine Corps	CWO5 Pierce	I Ted Wiechman		
U.S. Navy	🛛 Krystal Abreu	□ Jared Trinkaus		
U.S. Air Force	🛛 John Wright	⊠ Thomas Patterson		
Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA)	⊠ Chris Ford	□ Angie Beckstead		

II. ATTENDEES

III. ACTION ITEMS

Date Opened	Action	Assigned To	Date Due	Date Closed	Status
or Jo	or non-concur on the addition of Joint Deficiency Reporting System cancelation codes (JDRS).	🗆 DLA	06/25/2025		ONGOING
		🗆 U.S. Air Force			
		🗹 U.S. Army			
		U.S. Marine Corps			
		□ U.S. Navy			
		□ DCMA			
05/28/2025	Resolve potential duplication between Screening Point Summary (SPS) and Property Owner Location (POL) or Final Deficiency Description (FDD) information.	DEDSO	06/25/2025		ONGOING

IV. AGENDA ITEMS

a. <u>Process Review Committee (PRC) Appointment Letters:</u>

Ben Breen, PQDR Administrator, informed the committee of the ODASD determination that contractors were not eligible to serve as PRC primary or alternates and any organization that falls into this category would need to submit an updated letter with a government representative as a replacement.

b. <u>Proposed DLMS Change (PDC) 1443C PQDR Policy Migration</u>:

Ben stated that the time for pre-coordination comments on the draft PDC 1443C working copy on the TEAMS channel has ended. The working draft comments will be reviewed and adjudicated, and a clean copy will be sent out later for finalized formal coordination before submission to ODASD.

c. <u>Proposed PDC 1007F Status Update:</u>

Ben requested in writing, via e-mail, whether the DoD Components concur or non-concur with the previously discussed addition of JDRS cancelation codes by 25 June 2025. It was noted that the regularly scheduled PQDR Committee meeting on that day would be rolled into the scheduled 23-27 June virtual PQDR 842P Working Group meetings that week.

Ben informed the committee that if an e-mail was not received by the due date, silence would equal concurrence. DEDSO requested that the e-mail response provide a justification for any non-concur or partial concur of the proposed cancelation codes.

Ben also mentioned that he would consult with fellow DEDSO Administrator, Steve Nace, on the potential removal of the SPS code, if determined from the 842P Technical Team discussions that it is a duplication of POL or FDD information.

DEDSO briefed that the timeline for 842P DLMS compliance was still tracking for March 2026 pending no further changes after the 23-27 June 2025 virtual PQDR Working Group meetings. As it stands, programming and testing is already underway, so a definitive cutoff for changes would be determined after that meeting to support the submission of PDC 1007F and PDC 1443C for ODASD approval.

Regarding committee questions concerning Foreign Military Sales (FMS) policy engagement, Ben managed expectations by stating that, realistically, the 842P implementation would need to be completed prior to developing specific FMS guidance in PDC 1446A.

Once this milestone was achieved, the migration to have FMS transition to utilizing PQDRs versus Supply Discrepancy Reports for quality issues would begin affording their respective Information Technology Teams the time needed to develop. Ongoing PQDR meeting discussions in the interim were not intended to be restrictive to FMS inputs, but it was restated that those requirements would begin sometime later in 2026.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

d. <u>DLMS Summit</u>:

The virtual DLMS Summit date is still to be determined.

DEDSO will be posting agenda and new dates on our website, through our newsletter, and via the PRCs and working groups.

V. ACTIVITIES AND NEWSLETTER

DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS community are located on the <u>DLA</u> <u>website</u>.

VI. ADDITIONAL DEDSO PQDR GENERAL COMMUNICATION

Do you have a question, hot topic, or a PQDR-related update? If so, we encourage you to e-mail us at <u>DEDSO.PQDR@dla.mil.</u>

The meeting agenda and notes are available via the DEDSO PQDR committee TEAMS link.